# EUROPHYSICS Letters

### OFFPRINT

Vol. 61 • Number 4 • pp. 567–572

## Measuring preferential attachment in evolving networks

\* \* \*

H. JEONG, Z. NÉDA and A. L. BARABÁSI



# Published under the scientific responsability of the $EUROPEAN\ PHYSICAL\ SOCIETY$

Incorporating JOURNAL DE PHYSIQUE LETTRES • LETTERE AL NUOVO CIMENTO

Editor-in-Chief       Taking full advantage of the service on Internet, please choose the fastest connection:         Prof. H. Müller-Krumbhaar       http://www.edpsciences.org         JFF Theorie 3 - Forschungszentrum Jülich       http://depsciences.ao.ac.jp         D-52425 Jülich - Germany       http://depsciences.ao.ac.jp         h.mueller-krumbhaar@fz-juelich.de       http://www.edpsciences.usa.org         Staff Editor: Edith Thomas       Europhysics Letters, 27 chemin de la Vendée, P.O. Box 69, CH-1213 Petit-Lancy 2, Switzerlanc         Editorial Director: Angela Oleandri       Director of publication: Jean-Marc Quilbé         Publishers: EDP Sciences S.A., France - Società Italiana di Fisica, Italy       Europhysics Letter was launched more than fifteen years ago by the European Physical Society, the Société         Française de Physique, the Società Italiana di Fisica and the Institute of Physics (UK) and owned now by       Institution/Library: (UK) and owned now by         17 National Physical Societies/Institutes.       Europhysics Letters aims to publish short papers containing non-trivial new results, ideas, concepts         experimental methods, theoretical treatments, etc. which are of broad interest and importance to one or several sections of the physics community.       Institution/Library:         Stubscription 2003       Institution/Library:       Control         24 issues - Vol. 61-64 (6 issues per vol.)       Institution/Library:       Mare:         Name:       P	EUROPHYSICS LETTERS		
Europhysics Letters, 27 chemin de la Vendée, P.O. Box 69, CH-1213 Petit-Lancy 2, Switzerlanc         Editorial Director: Angela Oleandri       Director of publication: Jean-Marc Quilbé         Publishers: EDP Sciences S.A., France - Società Italiana di Fisica, Italy         Europhysics Letter was launched more than fifteen years ago by the European Physical Society, the Société         Française de Physique, the Società Italiana di Fisica and the Institute of Physics (UK) and owned now by         17 National Physical Societies/Institutes.         Europhysics Letters aims to publish short papers containing non-trivial new results, ideas, concepts         experimental methods, theoretical treatments, etc. which are of broad interest and importance to one or several sections of the physics community.         Europhysics letters provides a platform for scientists from all over the world to offer their results to ar international readership.         Subscription 2003         24 issues - Vol. 61-64 (6 issues per vol.)         ISSN: 0295-5075 - ISSN electronic: 1286-4854         • France & EU (VAT included)         • Rest of the World 1 568 €         • Payment:         • Check enclosed payable to EDP Sciences         • Please send me a proforma invoice         • Credit card:         • Visa       Eurocard         • Name:       Country:         • Waid until:       • Mareican Express	Editor-in-Chief Prof. H. Müller-Krumbhaar IFF Theorie 3 - Forschungszentrum Jülich D-52425 Jülich - Germany h.mueller-krumbhaar@fz-juelich.de	Taking full advantage of the service on Internet, please choose the fastest connection: http://www.edpsciences.org http://edpsciences.nao.ac.jp http://edpsciences-usa.org http://www.epletters.ch	
Publishers: EDP Sciences S.A., France - Società Italiana di Fisica, Italy         Europhysics Letter was launched more than fifteen years ago by the European Physical Society, the Sociétà         Française de Physique, the Società Italiana di Fisica and the Institute of Physics (UK) and owned now by         17 National Physical Societies/Institutes.         Europhysics Letters aims to publish short papers containing non-trivial new results, ideas, concepts         experimental methods, theoretical treatments, etc. which are of broad interest and importance to one or several sections of the physics community.         Europhysics letters provides a platform for scientists from all over the world to offer their results to ar international readership.         Subscription 2003         24 issues - Vol. 61-64 (6 issues per vol.)         ISSN: 0295-5075 - ISSN electronic: 1286-4854         • France & EU       1 568 €         • France & EU       1 568 €         • Rest of the World       1 568 €         • Rest of the World       1 568 €         • Please send me a proforma invoice       ZIP-Code:         • Credit card:       Visa         • Visa       Eurocard         • Visa       Eurocard         • Waid until:       • American Express	Europhysics Letters, 27 chemin de la Vendé Editorial Director: Angela Oleandri	e, P.O. Box 69, CH-1213 Petit-Lancy 2, Switzerland Director of publication: Jean-Marc Quilbé	
Subscription 2003         24 issues - Vol. 61-64 (6 issues per vol.)         ISSN: 0295-5075 - ISSN electronic: 1286-4854         • France & EU       1 568 €         • Kest of the World       1 568 €         • Rest of the World       1 568 €         • Without VAT)       Address:         Payment:       Check enclosed payable to EDP Sciences         • Please send me a proforma invoice       ZIP-Code:         • Credit card:       Oxisa ■ Eurocard ■ American Express         Valid until:       American Express	Europhysics Letters aims to publish short papers co experimental methods, theoretical treatments, etc. whic several sections of the physics community. Europhysics letters provides a platform for scientists fin international readership.	ntaining non-trivial new results, ideas, concepts, h are of broad interest and importance to one or om all over the world to offer their results to an	
24 issues - Vol. 61-64 (6 issues per vol.)       Institution/Library:         ISSN: 0295-5075 - ISSN electronic: 1286-4854	Subscription 2003		
Payment:	24 issues - Vol. 61-64 (6 issues per vol.) ISSN: 0295-5075 - ISSN electronic: 1286-4854 • France & EU 1 568 € (VAT included) • Rest of the World 1 568 € (without VAT)	Institution/Library: Name: Position: Address:	
Please send me a proforma invoice   Credit card:   Visa   Eurocard   American Express   Valid until:    E-mail:	Payment: □ Check enclosed payable to EDP Sciences		
	<ul> <li>Please send me a proforma invoice</li> <li>Credit card:</li> <li>Visa  Eurocard  American Express</li> </ul>	City:	
Card No: Signature :	Valid until:	Signature :	

Order through your subscription agency or directly to EDP Sciences:

17 av. du Hoggar • B.P. 112 • 91944 Les Ulis Cedex A • France Tel. 33 (0)1 69 18 75 75 • Fax 33 (0)1 69 86 06 78 • subscribers@edpsciences.org

### Measuring preferential attachment in evolving networks

H. JEONG<sup>1,2</sup>, Z. NÉDA<sup>1</sup>(\*) and A. L. BARABÁSI<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Physics, University of Notre Dame - Notre Dame, IN 46616, USA

<sup>2</sup> Department of Physics, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology Taejon, 305-701, Korea

(received 2 September 2002; accepted in final form 4 December 2002)

PACS. 89.65.-s – Social systems.

PACS. 89.75.-k - Complex systems.

PACS. 05.10.-a - Computational methods in statistical physics and nonlinear dynamics.

**Abstract.** – A key ingredient of many current models proposed to capture the topological evolution of complex networks is the hypothesis that highly connected nodes increase their connectivity faster than their less connected peers, a phenomenon called preferential attachment. Measurements on four networks, namely the science citation network, Internet, actor collaboration and science coauthorship network indicate that the rate at which nodes acquire links depends on the node's degree, offering direct quantitative support for the presence of preferential attachment. We find that for the first two systems the attachment rate depends linearly on the node degree, while for the last two the dependence follows a sublinear power law.

Modeling the highly interconnected nature of various social, biological and communication systems as complex networks or graphs has attracted much attention in the last few years [1– 14]. As for a long time these networks were modeled as completely random [15, 16], the recent interest is motivated by the increasing evidence that real networks obey unexpected scaling laws [3,4], interpreted as signatures of deviation from randomness. Current approaches, using the tools of statistical physics [6, 8, 9] search for universalities both in the topology of these webs and in the dynamics governing their evolution. These efforts resulted in a class of models that view networks as evolving dynamical systems, rather than static graphs. Most evolving network models are based on two ingredients [4]: growth and preferential attachment. The growth hypothesis suggests that networks continuously expand through the addition of new nodes and links between the nodes, while the *preferential attachment* hypothesis states that the rate  $\Pi(k)$  with which a node with k links acquires new links is a monotonically increasing function of k. While most versions of such evolving network models assume that  $\Pi(k)$  is linear in k [4,6,9], recently several authors proposed that  $\Pi(k)$  could follow a power law [8,10]. Consequently, the time evolution of the degree  $k_i$  of node i can be obtained from the first-order differential equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}k_i}{\mathrm{d}t} = m\Pi(k_i),\tag{1}$$

<sup>(\*)</sup> On leave from Babes-Bolyai University, Department of Theoretical Physics - str. Kogalniceanu, RO-3400, Cluj-Napoca, Romania.

<sup>©</sup> EDP Sciences

where m is a constant and  $\Pi(k)$  has the form

$$\Pi(k_i) = \frac{k_i^{\alpha}}{\sum_j k_j^{\alpha}} = C(t)k_i^{\alpha},\tag{2}$$

with  $\alpha > 0$  an unknown scaling exponent. For  $\alpha = 1$  these models reduce to the scale-free model [4], for which the degree distribution P(k), giving the probability that a node has klinks, follows  $P(k) \propto k^{-\gamma}$  with  $\gamma = 3$ . As Krapvisky, Redner and Leyvraz have shown [8], for  $\alpha < 1$  the degree distribution follows a stretched exponential, while for  $\alpha > 1$  a gelation-like phenomenon is expected, where a single site links to nearly all other nodes. On the other hand, the hypothesis (2) raises a series of fundamental questions, that are not yet supported directly by experimental data. First, is preferential attachment indeed present in real networks? *I.e.* does indeed  $\Pi(k)$  depend on k, or is it k-independent, as assumed by the Erdős-Rényi [15] model? Second, if  $\Pi(k)$  does indeed depend on k, what is its functional form? Is it linear, as assumed in [4], or does it follow a power law as suggested in [8, 10]? Could  $\Pi(k)$  follow some unknown and yet unexplored functional form?

Here we propose a numerical method that allows us to extract the functional form of  $\Pi(k)$  directly from dynamical data on real evolving networks. Our measurements indicate that  $\Pi(k)$  follows a power law for all investigated networks. For the Internet and the citation network we find  $\alpha = 1$ , while for the science collaboration network and the Hollywood actor network the results indicate sublinear attachment, *i.e.*  $\alpha < 1$ . These results offer the crucial missing link for modeling the dynamics of complex evolving networks.

*Methods*: To measure  $\Pi(k)$  we use computerized data on the dynamics of large networks. Consider a network for which we know the order in which each node and link joins the system. According to (1) and (2), the function  $\Pi(k)$  gives the rate at which an existing node with k links acquires new links as the network grows. To measure  $\Pi(k)$  we need to monitor to which old node new nodes link, as a function of the degree of the old node. However, there is an important problem with this simple approach: the normalization constant, C(t), depends on the time at which a given node joins the system, creating unwanted biases in the measurements. To avoid such bias, we study the attachment of new nodes within a relatively short time frame. Consider all nodes existing in the system at time  $T_0$ , called " $T_0$  nodes". Next select a group of " $T_1$  nodes", added between  $[T_1, T_1 + \Delta T]$ , where  $\Delta T \ll T_1$  and  $T_1 > T_0$ . When a  $T_1$  node joins the system we record the degree k of the  $T_0$  node to which the new node links. The histogram providing the number of links acquired by the  $T_0$  nodes with exactly k degree, after normalization, gives the  $\Pi(k, T_0, T_1)$  function. If the growing network develops a stationary state, then  $\Pi(k, T_0, T_1)$  is independent of  $T_0$  and  $T_1$ , and then depends on k only, providing the  $\Pi(k)$  preferential attachment function. As we are forced to use relatively short  $\Delta T$  intervals, even for large networks with hundreds of thousands of nodes  $\Pi(k)$  has significant fluctuations, particularly for large k. To reduce the noise level, instead of  $\Pi(k)$  we study the cumulative function

$$\kappa(k) = \int_0^k \Pi(k) \,\mathrm{d}k. \tag{3}$$

If  $\Pi(k)$  follows (2), we expect that

$$\kappa(k) \propto k^{\alpha+1}.\tag{4}$$

*Measurements*: The method described above can be applied to systems for which the order in which the nodes are added to the network is known. In this respect we have access to four different computerized networks, whose main parameters are shown in table I.

Database	# nodes	# links	α
Citation	1736	83252	$0.95 \pm 0.1$
Internet	12409	13445	1.05
Collaboration	209293	3534724	$0.79 \pm 0.1$
Actor	392340	33646882	$0.81 \pm 0.1$

TABLE I – Summary of the investigated database, showing the number of nodes, links, and the average value of the obtained exponent  $\alpha$ .

1) In the coauthorship network of neuro-science (NS) the nodes are scientists, two nodes being linked if they coauthored a paper [11]. The database considered by us contains paper titles and authors of all relevant journals in the field of NS published during 1991–98. Similar to other collaboration networks [12] the distribution P(k) for NS follows a power law. Papers published during 1991–9*x* are used to reveal the network topology up to the considered 199*x* year, so that papers published in year 199x + 1 are used to measure  $\Pi(k)$ .

2) In the citation network the nodes are papers published in 1988 in *Physical Review* Letters, and links represent the citations these articles received [17]. We choose  $T_0 = 1989$ .

3) In the actor network nodes are actors which are linked if they acted together in a movie. The network investigated by us contains all movies and actors from 1892 up to 1999 [2,18]. We determined  $\Pi(k)$  for actors that debut between 1920 and 1940, *i.e.*  $T_0 = 1940$ . We followed the evolution of the new links yearly between 1942 and 1993.

4) For the Internet data the investigated nodes represent Autonomous Systems (AS) and links are direct connections between them [19]. The available data follows the network evolution from 1997 up to the present days. The function  $\Pi(k)$  was determined for the nodes existing in the year 2000.

Results: The  $\kappa(k)$  functions obtained for the discussed databases are shown in figs. 1 and 2. If preferential attachment is absent, *i.e.*  $\Pi(k)$  is independent of k, we expect  $\kappa(k) \propto k$ . In figs. 1 and 2 we show the linear fit as continuous line. In each of the investigated examples



Fig. 1 – The  $\kappa(k)$  function determined numerically for the citation network (a) and the Internet (b). In (a) the symbols from top to bottom correspond to measurements made at  $T_1 = 1991$  and 1995, respectively. For each curve we used  $T_0 = T_1 - 1$ . In the inset, we show the measured  $\alpha$  exponent for each studied year which was obtained by fitting the whole  $\kappa(k)$  curve. For the Internet (b)  $\kappa(k)$  was determined using  $T_0 = 1999$  and  $T_1 = 2000$ , yielding  $\alpha = 1.05$  best exponent. In all measurements  $\Delta T = 1$  year.



Fig. 2 – The  $\kappa(k)$ -function determined numerically for the NS scientific collaboration (a) and actor network (b). In (a) the symbols from top to bottom correspond to measurements made at  $T_1 = 1996$ and 1998, respectively. We have used  $T_0 = T_1 - 1$ . In (b) the symbols from top to bottom correspond to measurements made at  $T_1 = 1950$  and 1960, respectively. We used as  $T_0$  nodes the actors present between 1920 to 1940. In the insets we plotted the measured  $\alpha$  exponents for each studied year. In all measurements  $\Delta T = 1$  year.

the increase of  $\kappa(k)$  is faster than linear, offering direct evidence that preferential attachment is present in each of the considered systems. Furthermore, we find that the curves follow a straight line on a log-log plot, indicating that with a good approximation the power law hypothesis (2) is valid. Note that, apart from statistical fluctuations, the functional form of  $\Pi(k)$  is independent of  $T_0$ , supporting the stationary nature of the attachment process. There is some degree of variation, however, when it comes to the value of the exponent  $\alpha$ .

In fig. 1 we present two  $\kappa(k)$  curves for the citation network and for the Internet. For both networks we find that the slope of  $\kappa(k)$  is very close to two, shown as dashed line in the figure. For the Internet, where the measurement was performed for only one year, we obtain  $\alpha = 1.05$ , while for the citation network we determined  $\kappa(k)$  for eight different years, obtaining the set of  $\alpha$  values shown in the inset, indicating  $\langle \alpha \rangle = 0.95 \pm 0.1$ . Thus we conclude that for these two networks the linear ( $\alpha = 1$ ) preferential attachment hypothesis offers a good approximation [20].

On the other hand, for the scientific collaboration and actor networks, we find  $\alpha < 1$  (fig. 2). The set of  $\alpha$  values for these networks are summarized in the insets of fig. 2. On average, we get  $\langle \alpha \rangle = 0.81 \pm 0.1$  for the actor network, and  $\langle \alpha \rangle = 0.79 \pm 0.1$  for the scientific collaboration networks [21].

Internal and external links: The observed sublinear behavior predicts that P(k) for the systems shown in fig. 2 should follow a stretched exponential [8]. Nevertheless, the measured P(k) indicate that a power law offers a better fit. How can we then reconcile the nonlinear form of  $\Pi(k)$  with the measured P(k)? A potential resolution of this conflict lies in the presence of internal links. For the scientific coauthorship network or the actor web links appear not only from new nodes added to the network, but as a result of new links connecting previously existing nodes as well. The method presented above allows us to investigate separately the attachment mechanisms of these distinct types of links. For this, when determining  $\Pi(k)$  we first limit the measurements only to external links, *i.e.* links that have been added to the system as a result of the appearance of new nodes. Second, we focus only on new *internal links*, *i.e.* new links that connect two previously present but disconnected nodes. For example, such



Fig. 3 – Preferential attachment of new nodes (a) and new internal links (b) in the actor network. In (b) we plot the  $\kappa(k_1k_2)$ -function. Scaling of  $\kappa(k)$  is illustrated for a few selected years. We obtain  $0.7 < \alpha < 1$  for the PA of new nodes (a) and  $\alpha$  very close to one for the internal links in the year chosen in panel (b).

internal link appears when two researchers, who have not published jointly before, coauthor their first paper together, or two actors, who did not act together before, are joined in a new movie. In general, preferential attachment implies that the probability that a new internal link appears between two nodes with degrees  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  scales with the  $k_1k_2$  product [11]. Focusing on the actor network we find that both external and internal links follow preferential attachment. However, the exponent  $\alpha$  differs for the two types of links.

From fig. 3a we conclude that new incoming nodes tend to link to the already existing nodes, following the functional form (2) with  $\alpha < 1$ . The  $\kappa(k_1k_2)$  function determined from the internal links also follows a power law. The scaling is clearly not sublinear, and the exponent determined from the asymptotic behavior is close to two (fig. 3b). Thus the results indicate that the placement of the internal links is also governed by preferential attachment, which scales linearly with k. Similar results (not shown) have been obtained for the scientific collaboration web in neuroscience and mathematics [11]. Note that for the science citation network internal links are not allowed, and the data resolution for the Internet does not allow to perform the same analysis at this point. We find that, while the preferential attachment of the external links is clearly sublinear, the internal links follow a close-to-linear behavior. As in both the actor network and the scientific collaboration network the number of internal links far out-weight the external links, we believe that in the asymptotic limit the internal attachment is the one that drives the shape of the P(k) distribution, eventually being responsible for its power law form. These measurements raise several interesting possibilities for the analytical treatment of the complex coexistence of internal and external links, that could shed further light on the evolution of complex networks.

Initial attractiveness: Dorogovtsev, Mendes and Samukhin have suggested that in order to account for the fact that even nodes with no links can acquire links,  $\Pi(k)$  should have an additive term,  $k_0$ , called initial attractiveness [6], so that  $\Pi(k) \propto k_0 + k^{\alpha}$ . For  $\alpha = 1$ , it has been demonstrated that the degree exponent,  $\gamma$ , depends continuously on  $k_0$ . In principle, having the functional form of  $\Pi(k)$  allows us to determine  $k_0$  as well. We inspected the form of  $\Pi(k)$ , which indeed does indicate that a nonzero  $k_0$  is present. On the other hand, the available statistics was not sufficient to determine unambiguously the value of  $k_0$ . In any case, our estimates indicate that  $k_0$  is rather small, in the  $10^{-6}$  range, thus its presence has no effect on the scaling of  $\kappa(k)$  at large k. Nevertheless, the nonzero  $k_0$  plays an important role in starting the evolution of the node connectivity, since in its absence no disconnected node could acquire initial links. Similarly, it has been shown by Krapvisky and Redner [22] that the degree exponent  $\gamma$  depends on the attachment rate of the smallest degree nodes, indicating the importance of the attachment rate of the low-degree nodes.

In summary, our measurements offer direct confirmation for the existence of preferential attachment for rather different real evolving networks. The emerging picture is more complex, however, than originally assumed in [4]. We find that for all the networks we studied eq. (2) gives a good fit for  $\Pi(k)$ , implying that  $\Pi(k)$  follows a power law. The exponent  $\alpha$ , however, is system dependent: while for the Internet and the citation network, in agreement with recent results [20,21], a linear  $\Pi(k)$  offers a reasonable fit, for the actor network and collaboration web the attachment rate is sublinear. These results give firmer foundation for the evolving networks. But they also pose an important question: what is the microscopic origin of preferential attachment? What determines the exponent  $\alpha$  in general? While some preliminary answers have been proposed [13,14], a good understanding of this fundamental question is still lacking.

### \* \* \*

We acknowledge useful discussion with R. ALBERT, I. DERÉNYI and T. VICSEK. HJ acknowledges support from KOSEF-ABRL program. This research was supported by NSF, PHY-9988674 and CAREER DMR97-01998.

### REFERENCES

- ALBERT R. and BARABÁSI A.-L., Rev. Mod. Phys., 74 (2002) 47; DOROGOVTSEV S. N. and MENDES J. F. F., to be published in Adv. Phys.
- [2] WATTS D. J. and STROGATZ S. H., Nature, **393** (1998) 440.
- [3] ALBERT R., JEONG H. and BARABÁSI A.-L., Nature, 401 (1999) 130.
- [4] BARABÁSI A.-L. and ALBERT R., Science, 286 (1999) 509; BARABÁSI A.-L., ALBERT R. and JEONG H., Physica A, 272 (1999) 173.
- [5] COHEN R., EREZ K., BEN-AVRAHAM D. and HAVLIN S., Phys. Rev. Lett., 85 (2000) 4626.
- [6] DOROGOVTSEV S. N., MENDES J. F. F. and SAMUKHIN A., Phys. Rev. Lett., 85 (2000) 4633.
- [7] SLANINA F. and KOTRLA M., Phys. Rev. E, 62 (2000) 6170.
- [8] KRAPVISKY P. L., REDNER S. and LEYVRAZ F., Phys. Rev. Lett., 85 (2000) 4629.
- [9] KULLMANN L. and KERTÉSZ J., Phys. Rev. E, 63 (2001) 051112.
- [10] BARABÁSI A.-L., ALBERT R. and JEONG H., Physica A, 281 (2000) 69.
- [11] BARABÁSI A.-L., JEONG H., NÉDA Z., RAVASZ E., SCHUBERT A. and VICSEK T., Physica A, 311 (2002) 590.
- [12] NEWMAN M. E. J., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 98 (2001) 404.
- [13] VAZQUEZ A., cond-mat/0006132.
- [14] DOROGOVTSEV N., MENDES J. F. F. and SAMUKHIN A., Phys. Rev. E, 63 (2001) 062101.
- [15] ERDŐS P. and RÉNYI A., Publ. Math., 6 (1959) 290; Acta Math. Sci. Hung., 12 (1961) 261.
- [16] BOLLOBÁS B., Random Graphs (Academic, London) 1985.
- [17] http://www.webofscience.com
- [18] http://www.imdb.com
- [19] http://moat.nlanr.net/infrastructure.html
- [20] PASTOR-SATORRAS R., VAZQUEZ A. and VESPIGNANI A., Phys. Rev. Lett., 87 (2001) 258701.
- [21] NEWMAN M. E. J., *Phys. Rev. E*, **64** (2001) 025102.
- [22] KRAPIVSKY P. L. and REDNER S., Phys. Rev. E, 63 (2001) 066123.